

1 WO
2
3
4
5

6 **IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
7 **FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA**

8
9 Thomas Clinkenbeard, No. CV-15-01538-PHX-JAT
10 Petitioner,
11 v.
12 Charles L Ryan, and Unknown Macadori,
13 Respondents.
14

15 Pending before the Court is Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus
16 ("Petition"). The Magistrate Judge issued a Report and Recommendation ("R&R") (Doc.
17 25) recommending that the Petition be denied.
18

19 Neither party has filed objections to the R&R. Accordingly, the Court hereby
20 accepts the R&R. *See Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985) (finding that district
21 courts are not required to conduct "any review at all . . . of any issue that is not the
22 subject of an objection" (emphasis added)); *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114,
23 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (*en banc*) ("statute makes it clear that the district judge must review
24 the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations *de novo if objection is made*, but
25 not otherwise" (emphasis in original)); *see also Schmidt v. Johnstone*, 263 F.Supp.2d
1219, 1226 (D. Ariz. 2003).

26 Based on the foregoing,
27

28 **IT IS ORDERED** that the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation (Doc.

1 25) is **ACCEPTED**; accordingly,

2 • Petitioner's Petition for Writ of Habeas Corpus is denied and dismissed with
3 prejudice,
4 • in the event Petitioner files an appeal, issuance of a certificate of appealability is
5 denied for the reasons stated in the R&R, and
6 • the Clerk of the Court shall enter judgment of dismissal with prejudice.

7 Dated this 19th day of October, 2016.

8
9
10 
11 James A. Teilborg
12 Senior United States District Judge
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28